Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) Garrison et al			al
	Examiner		Group Art Unit 2166	
	Tariq Hafia	-	2100	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO po	ersonnel):			
(1) Tariq Hafiz				
(2) Hans Dreyer	(4) <u>Alfred Standicki</u>			
Date of Interview Oct 16, 2001	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	Mp. If yes, brief d	escription:		
Claim(s) discussed:		<u> </u>		
Identification of prior art discussed:				
<u>Chang et al, 5884288</u>				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general other comments: The applicant's representative pointed out alleged different and stated that Chang reads on claim 1. After the inability examiner on claim 1, the applicant wished to further discus examiner was not prepared to discuss claim 35, and due to attorney reschedule the interview with an agenda so that the attorney. For sake of time efficiency of the examiner as interview to discuss other issues. Since the applicant's att been discussed over the phone several times. The examine finally rejected and notice of appeal filed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the ar summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See I already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FI SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	nature of what was agrees between Chang and to agree, a detailed dissipation of the constraints (after the examiner could proposed the applicant's representation of the examiner expected only a brightness which the examiner mendments that would grate record of the substitution of the substitutio	reed to if are their inverse examiner diversion becaused and their inverse examiner diversion because to diverse examiner agreed a render the examiner agreed arender the example of the e	agreement was ntion. The example ween the applicated not receive any site was recommended to discussion to be to be to reschibition on claim 1 since would render the claims allowable interview (if box AST OFFICE ACT of the last Office and to the last Office and	iner disagreed ant's and y agenda, the ended that the those issues with edule the claim 1 had ce the case is e claims allowable, is available, a is checked). TION MUST action has ENT OF THE
	•			11/
			\ \ \ \ \	/JC

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.